

# GLOBAL MEDIA MONITORING PROJECT <br> 2010 

Highlights Of Preliminary Findings¹

10 November 2009 was an ordinary day at work for newsroom staff around the world. It was however a special day for groups in 130 countries who gathered to monitor their news media. After months of planning, preparations and training, they brought the Fourth Global Media Monitoring Project (GMMP) to life.
The groups monitored news on major media outlets selected on the basis of reach, density and diversity. The day's most important television and radio newscasts were monitored in their e ntirety. In the case of newspapers 12 to 14 stories appearing on the main news pages - defined as the pages devoted to national, international and, in some cases, regional news, were coded. Internet news from major news websites was monitored on a pilot basis in some 25 countries.

## Key Findings

'It matters profoundly who and what is selected to appear in news coverage and how individuals and events are portrayed. Equally, it matters who is left out and what is not covered'. ${ }^{2}$ The three previous GMMPs showed that women are grossly underrepresented in news coverage in contrast to men, resulting in news that paints a picture of a world in which women are largely absent. The studies equally revealed a paucity of women's views and opinions in mainstream news media content in contrast to men's perspectives, resulting in a male-centered view of the world.

The Fourth GMMP has produced mixed results as demonstrated in the key findings below.

## Representation

- $24 \%$ of the people interviewed, heard, seen or read about in mainstream broadcast and print news are female.
This is a significant change from 1995 when only $17 \%$ of the people in the news were women.

On the one hand the pace of increase in women's visibility in the news has been maintained over the past decade. In 2005 women's presence in the news had increased to $21 \%$ - a $3 \%$ rise from the research carried out in 2000. From 2005 to 2010 there is a second change of $3 \%$ which shows a pace of progress in gender-balance in the news that has remained persistently slow in the last ten years, but which is more rapid than the rate registered between 1995 and 2000.

On the other hand, the largest rise in women's visibility is in stories on the major topic 'science \& health' (from $22 \%$ of news subjects in 2005 to $37 \%$ in 2010). In reality this topic receives the lowest media attention ( $10 \%$ of total news stories). In topics that are high priority on the news media agenda, the increase in women as subjects was much smaller - from $20 \%$ to $21 \%$ in stories on the economy and from $14 \%$ to $18 \%$ in stories on 'politics \& government'.

Further, a significant component of the $3 \%$ increase in women in the news can be attributed to the notable increase in women as providers of popular opinion, and much less to women appearing as experts.
Only $16 \%$ of all stories focus specifically on women.
Overall, this is an increase from $10 \%$ of stories in 2005. There has been an improvement in the ratio of women to men who are central in news stories - in
news on politics/government (from 8\% of stories in 2005 to $18 \%$ in 2010) and on the economy (from 3\% of stories in 2005 to $7 \%$ in 2010). Nevertheless, the percentage of stories in which women are not central far outweighs the percentage of stories in which they are.
I Women have achieved near parity as givers of popular opinion in news stories. At the same time, less than one out of every five experts interviewed is female.
Near parity between women ( $47 \%$ ) and men ( $53 \%$ ) has been achieved in the category of people providing popular opinion. However women are persistently underrepresented as experts and authorities. $81 \%$ of experts and $82 \%$ of spokespersons who appear in the news are male. The percentages of female experts and spokespersons presently are $19 \%$ and $18 \%$ respectively.

## - An analysis of media coverage on

 selected issues of special concern to women contained in the Beijing Platform for Action reveals such issues receive an average of less than $1.5 \%$ media attention each.Of the stories appearing in the news during the monitoring day, $1.3 \%$ were on gender-based violence, $0.3 \%$ on women's economic participation, $1.2 \%$ on poverty and $0.9 \%$ on peace. 'Women \& political participation' received the highest coverage, at $3.4 \%$. An evaluation of the distribution of stories reveals that of the three mediums, mainstream radio is least likely to contain news on issues of concern to women. Print news contained the highest proportion of stories on all five themes, suggesting that newspapers would be the most effective medium for issues of concern to women to find space in the mainstream news agenda.


1 The preliminary results are based on a sample of 42 countries in Africa, Asia, Latin America, the Caribbean Region, Pacific Islands and Europe. The data for North America was not available for inclusion. The sample contains 6,902 news items and 14,044 news subjects, including people interviewed in the news. The full report will be published in September, 2010.
2 Gallagher, Margaret. 2005. Who makes the news: Global Media Monitoring Project. World Association for Christian Communication.

## Delivering the news

【 Overall, news stories by female reporters are much fewer than news stories by male reporters.
In 2010 the percentage of stories by female reporters on radio was lower than in 2005, a drastic drop from $45 \%$ to $27 \%$. $44 \%$ of stories on television were reported by women, up from $42 \%$. Newspaper stories by female reporters increased from $29 \%$ to 35\%.
I News stories by female reporters are almost twice as likely to challenge gender stereotypes than stories by male reporters
$11 \%$ of stories by female reporters challenge gender stereotypes, compared to $6 \%$ of stories by male reporters
News stories by female reporters have considerably more female news subjects than stories by male reporters
$26 \%$ of news subjects in stories by female reporters are female, compared to $19 \%$ of news subjects in stories by male reporters.

## Journalistic practice

Almost one half ( $48 \%$ ) of all news stories reinforce gender stereotypes, while $8 \%$ of news stories challenge gender stereotypes.

News stories are 6 times more likely to reinforce gender stereotypes than to challenge them. No conclusions can be drawn on the change over the past 5 years owing to revisions made to the method of collecting data on stereotyping in news coverage.
\| Only $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ of news stories highlight issues of gender equality or inequality.

The percentage of news stories that shed light on an aspect of gender equality or inequality in the story has tripled in the last five years. Nevertheless, stories that miss the opportunity to highlight (in) equality issues are by far more numerous.

I Women are five times as likely as men to be portrayed in their roles as wives, mothers, etc.
$19 \%$ of women appearing in the news are identified by their family status as compared to $4 \%$ of men in the news. Portraying women in their gender roles denies their identities as individuals, eroding gains made by women in securing positions of authority and responsibility in life outside the home.

- Only 9\% of news stories mention gender equality policies or human and women's rights legal instruments.

This finding suggests that numerous stories miss the opportunity to create awareness on instruments enacted to protect human rights, women's rights or gender equality. The finding also supports an observation by gender and communication groups that human rights, and in particular women's human rights, are relatively invisible in mainstream media coverage.

Key Findings

|  | 1995 |  | 2000 |  | 2005 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Women | \% Men | \% Women | \% Men | \% Women | \% Men | \% Women | \% Men |
| News Subjects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Media | 17 | 83 | 18 | 82 | 21 | 79 | 24 | 76 |
| Television Radio Newspapers | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 15 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \\ & 85 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 13 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 87 \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 17 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 83 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 19 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 81 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ |
| Scope of Story: Local National International Foreign | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 14 \\ & 17 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 86 \\ & 83 \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 17 \\ & 15 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 83 \\ & 85 \\ & 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 19 \\ & 18 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 81 \\ & 82 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 23 \\ & 20 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 77 \\ & 80 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ |
| Main Story Topics: Celebrity, Arts \& Sport Social \& Legal Crime \& Violence Science \& Health Economy Politics \& Government | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 19 \\ 21 \\ 27 \\ 10 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & 81 \\ & 79 \\ & 73 \\ & 90 \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 21 \\ & 18 \\ & 21 \\ & 18 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 79 \\ & 82 \\ & 79 \\ & 82 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 28 \\ & 22 \\ & 22 \\ & 20 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 72 \\ & 78 \\ & 78 \\ & 80 \\ & 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 29 \\ & 26 \\ & 37 \\ & 21 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 71 \\ & 74 \\ & 63 \\ & 79 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ |
| Function in Story: <br> Popular Opinion <br> Personal Experience Eye Witness Subject Expert Spokesperson | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 31 \\ & 30 \\ & 23 \\ & 17 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 69 \\ & 70 \\ & 77 \\ & 83 \\ & 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 38 \\ & 31 \\ & 25 \\ & 19 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 62 \\ & 69 \\ & 75 \\ & 81 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ |
| \% Portrayed as Victim | 29 | 10 | 19 | 7 | 19 | 8 | 20 | 9 |
| \% Identified by Family Status | n/a | n/a | 21 | 4 | 17 | 5 | 19 | 4 |
| \% In Newspaper Photographs | n/a | n/a | 25 | 11 | 23 | 16 | 28 | 20 |


|  | 1995 |  | 2000 |  | 2005 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Women | \% Men | \% Women | \% Men | \% Women | \% Men | \% Women | \% Men |
| Reporting and Presenting the News |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Stories presented on TV and Radio | 51 | 49 | 49 | 51 | 53 | 47 | 50 | 50 |
| Television Radio |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ |
| \% Stories reported | 28 | 72 | 31 | 69 | 37 | 63 | 37 | 63 |
| Television Radio Newspapers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 28 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 72 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 45 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 55 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 27 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 73 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ |
| \% Stories reported Scope of Story: Local <br> National International Foreign | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 24 \\ & 28 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \\ & 76 \\ & 72 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 30 \\ & 33 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 70 \\ & 67 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 70 \\ & 67 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 66 \\ & 68 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 36 \\ & 30 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 64 \\ & 70 \\ & 58 \end{aligned}$ |
| \% Stories reported Main Story Topics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Celebrity, Arts \& Sport <br> Social \& Legal <br> Crime \& Violence <br> Science \& Health Economy <br> Politics \& Government | N/A | N/A | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 39 \\ & 29 \\ & 46 \\ & 35 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 61 \\ & 71 \\ & 54 \\ & 65 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 40 \\ & 33 \\ & 38 \\ & 43 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 60 \\ & 67 \\ & 62 \\ & 57 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 44 \\ & 36 \\ & 40 \\ & 36 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 56 \\ & 64 \\ & 60 \\ & 64 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ |
| \% of Female News Subjects - <br> by sex of Reporter | N/A | N/A | 24 | 18 | 25 | 20 | 26 | 19 |
| News Content |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Stories with Women as a Central Focus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Celebrity, Arts \& Sport Social \& Legal Crime \& Violence Politics \& Government Science \& Health Economy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Stories that Challenge Gender Stereotypes | N/A |  | N/A |  | 3 |  | 8 |  |
| \% Stories that Reinforce Gender Stereotypes | N/A |  | N/A |  | 6 |  | 48* |  |
| \% Stories that Highlight Gender (In)Equality | N/A |  | N/A |  | 4 |  | 12 |  |
| \% Stories that mention gender equality policies or human and women's rights legal instruments | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | 9 |  |
| Selected topics relevant to the Beijing Platform for Action: \% of stories that highlight <br> 1. Poverty <br> 2. Gender-based violence <br> 3. Peace <br> 4. Women's economic participation <br> 5. Women's political participation | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 0.9 \\ & 0.3 \\ & 3.4 \end{aligned}$ |  |

[^0]
[^0]:    *Changes in the survey instrument account for the difference between the 2005 and 2010 findings

